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Matrix mortality
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A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
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## Matrix mortality

$A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0\end{array}\right) \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & -1 \\ 2 & -1\end{array}\right)$

No product of $A \mathrm{~s}$ and $B \mathrm{~s}$ can be zero, but
$C B A=C(B A)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & -1 \\ 2 & -1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

## Matrix mortality

Given a finite set of matrices $S=\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{p}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$, the problem of deciding if the monoid generated by $S$ contains 0 is

- Undecidable in the general case (Paterson, 1970).
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One can compute the average matrix, and check if its spectral radius is less than 1 , but this does not provide a witness.


## Matrix mortality

Given a finite set of matrices $S=\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{p}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$, the problem of deciding if the monoid generated by $S$ contains 0 is

- Undecidable in the general case (Paterson, 1970).
- PSPACE-complete when all entries are non-negative (Kao, Rampersad, Shallit, 2009).
- Polynomial-time when all entries are non-negative and the monoid generated by $S$ is finite :
One can compute the average matrix, and check if its spectral radius is less than 1 , but this does not provide a witness.
$\rightarrow$ Can one compute a 'short' sequence of matrices $M_{i_{1}}, \ldots, M_{i_{k}}$ such that $M_{i_{1}} \ldots M_{i_{k}}=0$ ?


## Matrix mortality

We can switch from a problem on matrices to a problem on automata :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(a)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& M(b)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& M(a b)=M(a) M(b)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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The rank of a word $w$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is the rank of $M(w)$.
A killing word is a word of rank 0 .
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## Unambiguous automata

Unambiguous automaton $\rightarrow$ Nondeterministic finite automaton in which for all states $s, t$, for all word $w$ there is at most one path from $s$ to $t$ labelled by $w$.
Equivalently, all entries of the matrix monoid associated to this automaton are 0 or 1 .


## Unambiguous automata

Unambiguous automaton $\rightarrow$ Nondeterministic finite automaton in which for all states $s, t$, for all word $w$ there is at most one path from $s$ to $t$ labelled by $w$.
Equivalently, all entries of the matrix monoid associated to this automaton are 0 or 1 .


The monoid associated to an unambiguous automaton is finite. The converse is true for strongly connected automata.

## Unambiguous automata



$$
M(a)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad M(b)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad M(b a a b)=0
$$
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Given an unambiguous automaton with $n$ states one can compute a killing word (when there is one) of length at most $\frac{1}{16} n^{5}+\frac{15}{16} n^{4}$ in polynomial time.

## Result

## Result

Given an unambiguous automaton with $n$ states one can compute a killing word (when there is one) of length at most $\frac{1}{16} n^{5}+\frac{15}{16} n^{4}$ in polynomial time.

## Main result

Given a set of matrices $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{N})$ generating a finite monoid, if this monoid contains 0 , then one can compute a sequence of matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{K} \in S$ such that $M_{1} \ldots M_{K}=0$ in polynomial time, with $K \leq \frac{1}{16} n^{5}+\frac{15}{16} n^{4}$.

When the automaton does not have any killing word, the procedure returns a word of minimal rank.

## Result

## Main result (generalized version)

Given a set of matrices $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{N})$ generating a finite monoid, one can compute in polynomial time a sequence of matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{K} \in S$ such that $M_{1} \ldots M_{K}$ has minimal rank, with $K \leq \frac{1}{16} n^{5}+\frac{15}{16} n^{4}$.

## Remarks

- In 1988, Carpi gave a polynomial-time algorithm to compute minimal-rank words for strongly connected unambiguous automata with positive minimal rank.


## Remarks

- In 1988, Carpi gave a polynomial-time algorithm to compute minimal-rank words for strongly connected unambiguous automata with positive minimal rank.
- The main contribution here is the extension of Carpi's result to unambiguous automata with minimal rank 0 .
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5) A counter-example

## Restivo's conjecture

$$
S=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{p}\right\} \subseteq \Sigma^{*}
$$

$$
S=\{a a, a b, b a b\}
$$



Let $\mathcal{A}(S)$ be the automaton associated to the set of words $S$. $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is non-deterministic in general.

## Restivo's conjecture

Restivo's conjecture (1981) $k w(\mathcal{A}(S))$ is bounded by $2 m^{2}$ where $m=\max _{w \in S}|w|$

Here $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is not necessarily unambiguous.


$$
S=\{a a, a b, b a b\}
$$

The word bbb is a killing word for this automaton.

## History

$1981 \rightarrow$ Restivo's conjecture ( $2 m^{2}$ upper bound)
$2010 \rightarrow$ Numerical counterexample by Fici, Pribavnika and Sakarovitch

2011 ד Family of counterexamples by Gusev and Pribavnika ( $5 m^{2}$ lower bound)
$2017 \rightarrow$ Computations hinting at an exponential growth in $m$ by Julia, Malapert and Provillard
$2019 \rightarrow$ Superpolynomial lower bound by Mika and Szykuła ( $2^{\frac{m}{4}}$ )

## Final disproval

Theorem (Maksymilian Mika, Marek Szykuła)
The following problem is PSPACE-complete:
Input: A finite set $S$ of words
Output: $\Sigma^{*}=S^{*}$ ?
While proving this result the authors constructed a family of sets of words whose minimal uncompletable word is of superpolynomial size in the maximal length of their elements.

## A particular case

Rather than any finite set of words, we can restrict ourselves to finite codes.

Definition
A code is a set of words $S$ such that for all $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p} \in S$, if $u_{1} \cdots u_{n}=v_{1} \cdots v_{p}$ then $n=p$ and $u_{i}=v_{i}$ for all $i$.
$S=\{a a, a b a\}$ is a code.

## A particular case

When $S$ is a finite code, $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is unambiguous (the converse is true).
A version of Restivo's conjecture in codes
When $S$ is a code, $k w(\mathcal{A}(S))$ is polynomially bounded in terms of $m=\max _{w \in S}|w|$

This has been shown for some particular cases such as prefix codes (Néraud, Selmi, 1988).

## A particular case

When $S$ is a finite code, $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is unambiguous (the converse is true).
A version of Restivo's conjecture in codes
When $S$ is a code, $k w(\mathcal{A}(S))$ is polynomially bounded in terms of $m=\max _{w \in S}|w|$

This has been shown for some particular cases such as prefix codes (Néraud, Selmi, 1988).

## Weaker version of the conjecture

When $S$ is a code, $k w(\mathcal{A}(S))$ is polynomially bounded in terms of the number of states $n$ in $\mathcal{A}(S)$


Is there always a killing word of length polynomial in ...
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## Proof for strongly connected UFA


$q w$ and $q^{\prime} w$ are disjoint because of unambiguity.
$v$ exists as we assumed strong connectedness.

$$
q w \sqcup q^{\prime} w \subseteq q(v u w)
$$

## Proof for strongly connected UFA

Lemma
For all state $q$ we can compute a word $w_{q}$ such that for all states $q^{\prime} \neq q$, if $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ are coaccessible then either $q w=\emptyset$ or $q^{\prime} w=\emptyset$.

For all $q$ there exists $w_{q}$ such that
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## Proof for strongly connected UFA

Lemma
Given a non universal automaton $\mathcal{A}$ with $n$ states such that any word has at most one accepting run, one can compute in polynomial time a word $w \notin L(\mathcal{A})$, with $|w| \leq n$.

## Idea of the proof
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## A counter-example

## Main result (again)

Given an unambiguous automaton $\mathcal{A}$, one can compute a killing word $w$ in polynomial time, if it exists, with $|w| \leq \frac{1}{16} n^{5}+\frac{15}{16} n^{4}$

We now know there exists a minimal-rank matrix which is a product of polynomially many matrices of $S$.
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## Main result (again)

Given an unambiguous automaton $\mathcal{A}$, one can compute a killing word $w$ in polynomial time, if it exists, with $|w| \leq \frac{1}{16} n^{5}+\frac{15}{16} n^{4}$

We now know there exists a minimal-rank matrix which is a product of polynomially many matrices of $S$.

Are all minimal-rank matrices products of polynomially many matrices of S ?

## A counter-example



## Conclusion

- Applications in the theory of codes
- Some work to improve the degree of the bound
- Possible extensions to finite monoids of integer matrices for instance.

